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INTRODUCTION & OUTLINE

Flash Butt Welding (FBW) is today the most commonly used joining process for railway rails. Rail steel properties have been improved over the last decades towards higher strength and better resistance against wear and rolling contact fatigue (RCF) [1, 2]. Welding can essentially deteriorate properties of the rails in the heat affected zone (HAZ) and thus contribute to undesirable reduced life-time of railtracks [3]. Temperature evolution T(t) has a major impact on the properties of rail weld joints, as it drives the phase changes in the weld metal and HAZ. This work seeks to deepen process knowledge for FBW of rails with a focus on the temperature evolution in the proximity of the weld joint. The goal is to support optimization of welding parameters.

WORK CONTENT & RESULTS

Experimental. Instrumented samples of 60E1 R260 rails – acc. to [4] – were used for the experiments on a stationary FBW welding machine at standard parameters and under industrial conditions. T(t)-curves were captured by the use of thermocouples on the surface of the rail. The secondary welding voltage $U_S$ was simultaneously measured.

T(t)-curves in figure 2 depict the temperature evolution at the rail head. By overlaying $U_S(t)$ in figure 2 (b) the characteristic temperature evolution for the three stages of the heating phase – 1. plane flashing, 2. pre-heating, 3. flashing – can be identified. In figure 3 the temperature evolution closest to the welding face is depicted. Differences in the heating phase and faster cooling rates, as well as higher $T_{\text{max}}$ at the web of the rail can be derived.

Numerical. The FEM-model from SYSWELD is depicted in figure 4. A 3D-electrokinetic-thermally strong coupled calculation is used for the simulation. The transition resistance between the welding faces and material properties of R350HT rail steel are implemented as $f(T)$. A simplified $U_S(t)$ at one pair of electrodes is applied, while the voltage at the other pair of electrodes is held constant zero. Phase changes are calculated based on an implemented CCT-diagram of the R350HT rail steel. Numerical results are compared to experimentally retrieved T(t)-curves in figure 5.

CONCLUSIONS

The temperature evolution and related $U_S(t)$ at each stage of the heating phase are understood as a result of complex thermo-physical interactions due to the rails’ specific geometry and varying contact conditions at the welding face in the heating phase and during welding. Experimental and numerical results were within the same range. However, the accuracy of the simulation results was not good enough to depict the intended aspects. Planned optimizations of the simulation include: optimization of the model for $R_T(T)$ and the cooling parameters, implementation of a coupled mechanical FE-calculation to depict the upsetting. Furthermore an enhanced metallurgical model – with focus on the pearlitic transformation– is being implemented.
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